Stage 2 - Milton Malsor Consultation Event - comments forms

Northampton Gateway SRFI Comments Tracker																														
Document No.	Name	Street	Town/Village	Overall Clear/Explicit Objection	Overall Clear Support Yes/No Responses - Questions 1, 2 and 3					3	Reason for Objection or Comments												Additional Comments	Changes made in response to issues raised?	Consultant Team Comment					
						Q1 - Yes	s Q1 - N	No Q2 - Y	res Q2 -	No Q3 -	Yes Q3	- No Commented previously Document Number	Q1:Concerned increase in traffic & impact	Q2: Concerned increase in traffic & impact	impact &	Q4: Do you have any comments about proposed landscaping & screening proposed to minimise impact	Q5: What do you think of the on-site layout and design of the SRFI site? Is there anything further you think we should be considering?	amount / height of screening or	Access/traffic concerns & suggestions		Pollution Concerns (light; noise; air)	Loss of amenity/quality of life	Scheme NOT necessary / No needed	Scheme IS required / Support the proposal		Build too close to Village	Disagree with location			
MM01		Towcester Road		1		1			1			1		1	1		Yes, Move it somewhere else					1	1				1	O1. the roads are already over stretched & often grid locked. Q3. Move it to an area which can cope with this expension, DIRFT. GENERAL: You are ruining our villages.		The Transport Assessment shows a range of benefits and improvements. A package of measures scribe has wider network to proposed, with local improvements along parts of the ASIS corridor to aid improved efficiency and reliability, and improve the operation of several local jacotions, with network aid-numing in general (including the nearest villages). Issues regarding need, including the need for an expanded network of SRFsit, and the relationship with DIRFT and other SRFsit are overed in the Market Analysis Report, and the Planning Statement. The presence of DIRFT at Junction 18 does not reduce the need for these proposals.
MM02		Hodnet Clos	se .	1		1			1			1		1	1		Yes, Move it somewhere else					1	1				1	GENERAL: The villages and whole area will be completely ruined.		Concerns noted. The non-technical summary may be of help to understand the findings of the ES as a whole regarding likely local impacts. The various assessments shows that as a result of the design and mitigation measures proposed, there are few direct effects likely on Milton Malsor.
MM03	?	?		1			1		1			1		1		None of it is wanted or needed - we do not want this awful industrial eyesore in our rural communities.	There is nothing positive to say about it – NOT wanted.				1	1	1					Q2 it is not J15 that only causes queues – it is the volume of traffic currently on the Montoway. Any reduction in congestion that comes about by re-designing 1,5 will be negotiated by the increase in traffic generated by your scheme. Q3: The communities of Roade, Mitton, Elisworth and Collingtree of not want this MONSTROCTY on their doorste — as the it follows that the Roade bypass that goes with it into I wanted as well. Q6: I bought house in Milton Malsor because I wanted to live in a small historic rural community, not on edge of a noise, polluting industrial side. Therefore, you proposal is not wanted.	a	The Transport Assessment shows a range of benefits and improvements. A package of measures across he wider network is proposed, with local improvements along parts of the ASIS corridor to aid improved efficiency and reliability, and improve the operation of several local junctions, with reduced rat-running in general (including the nearest villages).
MM04		Tunnel Hill House																										GENERAL: Please send me the plans.		Mrs Bickett also discussed this request in person at the exhibition, and she was subsequently sent the relevant plans by post.
MM05	-	Gaytonway Gayton Roa	r, ad				1	1		1		Prefer Roxhi Scheme ove Rail Centrai	r			The impact or Collingtree Village is stil significant buruch better job than Rail Central												OI: The proposal is not supported by local authorities. The rationals for SRF1 is debatable GENERAL: Dirt in eneaty and still many years from being III. This area is attactive to NDC's for the road network, if the rationals for SRF1 is to serve local areas this is not how a Northampton Gateway would be used. Prefer Roahill scheme to the Rail Central proposals to the control of the Rail Central proposals.		Objection to the principle is noted. The preference for Roshill proposals over the Rail Central scheme is noted, and welcome.
MM06	4	Thirlestone Crescent				1				1		Prefer Roxhi Scheme ove Rail Centrai	r			Like the railway tunnel to screen development from Milton.	Rather this one than the other. 8m development near Milton	1										GENERAL: We would rather have no development to damage the local countryside. However, if we have to agree to one proposal, it would be the Roxhill one as opposed to the larger development near Milton / Blisworth which would be catastrophic for the villages. This is a lower impact of the two. OB: concerned to note no mention of queues and possible potential for HGV queues. The	S	Objection to the principle is noted. The preference for Roxhill proposals over the Rail Central scheme is noted, and welcome.
MM07	_	Northants Police																							1			concept does not include an HGV lorry park and it is totally unacceptable to presume that HGV's will park alongside the road. They will be very vulnerable to theths of loads and that so diseal plus attack on drivers. Other such sites - DIRET near Crick have security rated HGV lorry parks as part of the development and this site should provide the same. Northarts Police will formally object if no secure parking provision is included.		Comments noted re- HSV related issues - other similar joints were made, and in response a specific, source HSV parking facility has been added to the proposals (this formed part of the further Stage 3 consultation).
MM08		Gaytonway Gayton Roa	r, id	1			1	1				1			1	Do not believe	Similar to either SRFI. Concerned about possible future buildings of cranes.						1				1	QT: DIRTY is nearby & serves mainly road freight. If SRTIs are to important they should be forced to serve more affeight. QS Roads are currently congested but the improvements will be undermined by the increase in traffic (both freight & employees) and force existing road users to use back roads. GENETAL: I am not corrinced of either the need or the choice of location. Any government decision on this proposal needs to take into consideration the other SRTI proposal in the same area.		Issues regarding need, including the need for an expanded network of SRFIs, and the relationship with DIFF1 and other SRFIs are covered in the Market Analysis Report, and the Planning Statement. The presence of DIRFT at Junction 18 does not reduce the need for these proposals.
MM09	_	Milton Court	rt	1			1		1			1				Noise & light pollution will be mitigated significantly enough					1							O1: Logistically a site with this much warehousing doesn't make sense in consideration of local industry. Sci. Impact on tributory mode & nicident management. (road closure/ repair) Q6: It isn't part of a strategic national network which is joined up.		The Transport Assessment shows a range of benefits and improvements. A package of measures across the wider network is proposed, with local improvements along parts of the ASI8 corridor to aid improved efficiency and reliability, and improve the operation of several local junctions, with reduced rat-running in general (including the nearest villages).
MM10	Not Given			1					1					1														O2: Traffic is bad now, it will only push more delays at Brackmills / Queen		The Transport Assessment shows a range of benefits and improvements. A package of measures across the wider network is proposed, with local improvements along parts of the ASOS corridor to aid improved efficiency and reliability, and improve the operation of several local junctions, with reduced rat-running in general (including the nearest villages).
MM11	Not Given	Not Given					1		1			1				Looks alright to	No not soully have											No comments made. Q1: Great presentation and graphics - explain well especially like the model. Q2: I live in		Noted. Positive comments regarding the model noted and welcome. Support for the proposals
MM12		High Street	t		1	1		1		1							No not really: however, I haven't fully investigated the logistics but as above I don't think it will affect us too much							1				Collingtee a flyover would be nice! To get to the Motorway without the traffic of East Hansbury. Q3:Absolutely, GENERAL: Works for me, represents progress and reasonable development		noted and welcome.
MM13		Towcester Road		1		1			1			1		1			Move it elsewhere, ruining the social aspects of the quiet villages, it will leave it too congested and not as traditional.					1					1	GENERAL: Its wrong, ruins the social aspect of quiet villages which almost everyone move to these locations for.	d	Concerns noted. The non-technical summary may be of help to understand the findings of the ES as a whole regarding likely local impacts.
VERALL T	OTALS				7	1	5	5	3	7	3	7	0 0	5		3 (1	0		2		4		1 1	0	4			